

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,463	LIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy H. Nguyen	2629	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Jimmy H. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) David E. Dougherty (Applicant's rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2007
Time: 10:35 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1, 5 and 8 to overcome minor informalities presented in these claims and to clarify the claimed invention, in order to place an application in condition for allowance. The amendments to these claims are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment..